

Traditional scholarly view of relationship between Matthew and Luke

1. [Gospel of Mark is a primary source for both Matthew and Luke](#)
2. [Elements found in BOTH Matthew and Luke but NOT in Mark are called "Q,"](#)
from the German, Quelle, meaning "source."
3. [Each gospel also has it's own, unique elements](#)

Challenges to this view

1. [No such manuscript of "Q" or anything like it has ever been found.](#)
2. How do we know that either the author of Luke or Matthew didn't have the other's work to consult?
3. [Is there any basis for determining which of Luke or Matthew was written first?](#)