Some issues to consider when engaging "the David story" (1 Samuel 16-1 Kings 3)

CHALLENGE: THE BOOKS OF SAMUEL SEEM TO CONTAIN THREE NARRATIVES THAT CAN BE READ/INTERPRETED SEP-ARATELY:

- a. The story of Saul's reign (1 Samuel 1-15)
- b. The "History of David's Rise" ("HDR", 1 Samuel 16 [?] -2 Samuel 8 [?]: there is no consensus on when the HDR begins or ends
- c. The "Succession Narrative" ("SN", 2 Samuel 9 [?] -1 Kings 3 [or 1]?]

1. How do what many scholars consider to be the three major sections relate to each other in terms of:

- a. AUTHORSHIP: many options considered, including
 - 1) a single author at the time of David/Solomon
 - 2) multiple authors at different times with conflicting perspectives (e.g., pro/anti-Saul/David; pro/anti-monarchy in general)
- b. TIME OF COMPOSITION/EDITORIAL WORK: options range from the time of the events narrated to the 3rd century BCE, with no one guess holding scholarly sway
- c. Intended Audience: options include:
 - 1) The Jerusalem elite
 - 2) Foreign kings/peoples
 - 3) The population of Judah
- d. Purpose of composition: options include:
 - 1) Defense of David's accession to the throne
 - 2) Defense of David's reign over Israel (as opposed to Saul)
 - 3) portrayal of the mixed benefits/costs of monarchy
 - 4) "serious entertainment" like Shakespeare or other "historical" works from later periods

There is no scholarly consensus on any of these questions.

2. WHICH ACTUAL TEXT (E.G., ANCIENT HEBREW TEXTS, THE SEPTUAGINT GREEK, OR THE 12-13[™] CENTURY MASORETIC HEBREW) REFLECTS THE "TRUE" STORY, I.E., THE ONE THE "AUTHOR(S)" INTENDED TO TELL?

<u>An example from 1 Samuel 17, the "David and Goliath" story:</u> the challenge is that the Septuagint (LXX) version is much shorter than the Masoretic version. Options:

- a. The Masoretic added parts to the LXX, so we should read the LXX
- b. The LXX deleted parts from the older (but unavailable) Hebrew original, which the Masoretic is assumed to follow, so we should read the Masoretic

3. How does the HDR relate to the SN? Some options:

- a. They are part of a single, continuous narrative (from whatever time of composition each interpreter determines)
- b. The HDR is pro-David propaganda which is refuted by the SN from a later time/voice
- c. However and whenever each was composed, they were both edited by a "Deuteronomistic" pen in a later period
- d. Further, other edits/changes were made in the Persian era after the end of Babylonian Exile

4. How does the HDR (or the SN) relate to other texts in the Hebrew Bible? Some options:

- a. The HDR is older than any other continuous text in the Bible
- b. The HDR is part of a larger composition that includes Genesis and other texts
- c. The HDR is part of the larger composition known as the "Deuteronomistic History", from Joshua to 2 Kings
- d. The HDR is older than some but newer than others written independently